

Ensuring Access to Services for Survivors with Limited English Proficiency (LEP)

Ensuring meaningful access to services is critical to protecting the life and safety of Survivors with limited English proficiency (LEP). In response to numerous requests for information on the rights of access to services for LEP individuals, Casa de Esperanza has compiled the following list of answers to frequently asked questions:

Do organizations that receive federal funds have to ensure language access for LEP individuals?

- Recipients of Federal funding must take reasonable steps to ensure "Meaningful Access" to those with Limited English Proficiency (LEP) under Title VI of the Civil Rights Act.
- Title VI of the 1964 Civil Rights Act states the following:
 "No person in the United States shall, on the ground of race, color or national origin, be
 excluded from participation in, be denied the benefits of, or be subjected to discrimination
 under any program or activity receiving federal financial assistance."
 Section 601 of Title VI, 42 U.S.C. sec. 2000d
- The U.S. Supreme Court stated that one type of national origin discrimination is discrimination based on a person's inability to speak, read, write or understand English (*Lau v. Nichols* (1974)).

Who is a Limited English Proficient Individual?

- LEP persons are those individuals who do not speak English as their primary language and have a limited ability to read, write, speak or understand English.
- The Census revealed that more than 24 million persons over the age of five living in the United States spoke a language other than English and did not speak English "very well". Of those, 11 million did not speak English at all or spoke it poorly. (Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2006-2008 American Community Survey)

How is this language access provision of Title VI implemented by Federal Agencies?

- President Clinton signed Executive Order 13166 in Aug. 2000: "Improving Access to Services for Persons with Limited English Proficiency" to further clarify the obligations of federal agencies and recipients of federal funds to comply with Title VI protections for LEP individuals.
- In 2002, DOJ issued final Guidance to Federal Financial Assistance Recipients Regarding Title VI Prohibition Against National Origin Discrimination Affecting Limited English Proficient Persons. 67 Fed. Reg. 41,455 (June 18,2002) (DOI Guidance).
- In 2011, Attorney General Erich Holder issued a Memorandum for the heads of all federal agencies, general counsels and civil rights divisions entitled "Federal Government's Renewed Commitment to Language Access Obligations Under Executive Order 13166" (See Resource list)

What are the requirements of Executive Order 13166?

1- The Executive Order requires Federal agencies to examine the services they provide, identify any need for services to those with limited English proficiency (LEP), and develop and

implement a system to provide those services so LEP persons can have meaningful access to them. Agencies must develop an LEP Language Access Implementation Plan which should be evaluated and updated periodically.

2- The Executive Order also requires that the Federal agencies work to ensure that **recipients of** Federal financial assistance *provide meaningful access* to their LEP applicants and beneficiaries.

Who qualifies as a recipient of federal financial assistance?

- Federal financial assistance includes grants, training, use of equipment, donations of surplus property, and other assistance. Subrecipients are also covered, when federal funds are passed on from one recipient to another. Recipients of federal funds range from state and local agencies, to nonprofits and other organizations.
- Title VI covers a recipient's entire program or activity. This means all parts of a recipient's operations are covered. This is true even if only one part of the recipient receives the federal assistance.
 - The following example is provided in the FAQ at LEP.gov: "Example: DOJ provides
 assistance to a state department of corrections to improve a particular prison facility.
 All of the operations of the entire state department of corrections--not just the
 particular prison--are covered."

What are recipients of federal funds and federal agencies required to do to meet LEP requirements?

Recipients and federal agencies are required to take *reasonable steps* to ensure <u>meaningful access</u> to their programs and activities by LEP persons.

The starting point is an individualized assessment that balances the following four factors:

- 1. The number or proportion of LEP persons eligible to be served or likely to be encountered by the program or grantee;
- 2. the frequency with which LEP individuals come in contact with the program;
- 3. the nature and importance of the program, activity, or service provided by the program to people's lives; and
- 4. the resources available to the grantee/recipient or agency, and costs.

See LEP Policy Guidance issued by different federal agencies: http://www.lep.gov/guidance/guidance_index.html

If a non-profit organization has limited resources available is it exempt from this language access requirement?

See the published remarks of the Assistant Attorney General at a meeting of the Federal Interagency Working Group on Limited English Proficiency 4/20/09:

"I want to point out 2 key areas of guidance...that applies across all agencies and recipients: First, as time goes on, the bar of reasonableness is being raised. The need to show progress in providing all LEP persons with meaningful access increases over time... The second crosscutting point is that, even in tough economic times, assertions of lack of resources will not provide carte blanche for failure to provide language access. Language access is essential and is not to be treated as a "frill" when determining what to cut in a budget..." http://www.lep.gov/Kingremarks4 20 09.pdf, p. 8.

What if there are multiple languages spoken in the community we serve?

As stated in the federal guidance "It is important to distinguish between establishing a system for communicating with LEP individuals who speak frequently-encountered languages (e.g. hiring bilingual staff members) versus enabling access to a telephonic interpretation service for LEP individuals who speak less commonly-encountered languages." (See "Common Language Access Questions, Technical Assistance and Guidance" from the Civil Rights Division of DOJ in the Resource list below)

What if my state or local jurisdiction has an "English-only" law?

- Despite a state's or local jurisdiction's official English-only law, Title VI and the Title VI
 regulations apply. Recipients continue to have a legal obligation under federal law to provide
 meaningful access for LEP persons.
- State and local laws may provide additional obligations to serve LEP individuals, but cannot compel recipients of federal financial assistance to violate Title VI.

What elements are important to ensure the quality of language access?

- Accuracy and effective communication are critical in domestic violence situations.
- Do not rely on friends and family members to interpret for the LEP victim in important and sensitive interactions.
- It is very important to avoid using children as interpreters, especially in domestic violence cases.
- Being bilingual is not enough for someone to be able to interpret; interpreters should be trained, neutral, and abide by confidentiality and ethical standards.
- Important to ensure that vital documents are translated into the non-English language of each regularly encountered LEP group.
- The best practice for organizations that receive federal funds is to develop a language access plan.

What are the Elements of an Effective LEP Language Access Plan?

- Updated demographic profile of the community
- Process for identifying LEP persons who need language assistance when they first come into contact with the organization
- Identifying ways in which quality language assistance will be provided (for both oral and written information)
- Training staff and volunteers; hiring bilingual personnel
- Outreach and Education accessible to LEP individuals
- Monitoring and updating the LEP Language Access Plan regularly

If a housing program serves some LEP individuals through a bilingual staff person but her caseload is full, can the organization turn away additional LEP individuals if other available staff are not bilingual?

The HUD regulations on LEP access make it clear that a recipient of Federal funds cannot deny
access to an individual based solely on the fact that they have Limited English Proficiency. (See
HUD Final Guidance to Federal Financial Assistance Recipients Regarding Title VI Prohibition
Against National Origin Discrimination Affecting Limited English Proficient Persons (72 FR
2732, issued January 22, 2007)).

HUD regulations state: "When bilingual staff cannot meet all the language service obligations
of the recipient, the recipient would turn to other options" (72 FR 2742). In the HUD guidance
it further states: "[R]efusing to serve LEP persons or not adequately serving or delaying
services to LEP persons would violate Title VI" (72 FR 2751).

If the survivor seeking services does not have legal immigration status, does she still have a right to meaningful language access under Title VI?

Regulations state that "Title VI LEP obligations apply to every beneficiary who meets the program requirements, regardless of the beneficiary's citizenship status" (72 FR 2751).

Do Courts have to provide interpreters?

- The DOJ Guidance and subsequent technical assistance letters from the Civil Rights Division explain that court systems receiving federal financial assistance, either directly or indirectly, must provide meaningful access to LEP persons in order to comply with Title VI, the Safe Streets Act, and their implementing regulations.
- The DOJ Guidance states: ... [W]hen oral language services are necessary, recipients [of any federal funds] should generally offer competent interpreter services free of cost to the LEP person.
- For DOJ recipient programs and activities, this is particularly true in a courtroom, administrative hearing, pre- and post-trial proceedings, situations in which health, safety, or access to important benefits and services are at stake, or when credibility and accuracy are important to protect an individual's rights and access to important services (67 FR 41455, 41462).
- DOJ's Guidance goes on to note: ...At a minimum, every effort should be taken to ensure competent interpretation for LEP individuals during all hearings, trials, and motions during which the LEP individual must and/or may be present. (67 FR 41455, 41471)
- See DOJ Language Access Guidance letter to State Courts in resource list below

How to file a Complaint:

- The Civil Rights Division of DOJ has an office of Federal Coordination and Compliance that handles complaints. Investigations may result in the issuance of formal findings of compliance or non-compliance. If voluntary compliance cannot be achieved where non-compliance is found, the Section refers the case to the appropriate Division Section for litigation or, in cooperation with the appropriate funding component within the Department, seeks to terminate the federal financial assistance through an administrative hearing.
- See Resource list for link to complaint forms

List of Resources:

- Official government website of the Federal Interagency Working Group on Limited English Proficiency: www.LEP.gov
- Common Language Access Questions, Technical Assistance and Guidance for Federally Conducted and Federally Assisted Programs- by DOJ (Aug. 2011) http://www.lep.gov/resources/081511 Language Access CAQ TA Guidance.pdf
- Language Access Assessment and Planning Tool for Federally Conducted and Federally Assisted Programs (May, 2011): http://www.lep.gov/resources/2011 Language Access Assessment and Planning Tool.pdf

- Brochure with brief overview of Fedl LEP requirements for recipients of federal funds: http://www.lep.gov/resources/lepbrochure.pdf
- Attorney General Memorandum: Federal Government's Renewed Commitment to Language Access Obligations Under Executive Order 13166 (Feb. 2011)
 http://www.justice.gov/crt/lep/AG 021711 EO 13166 Memo to Agencies with Supplement.pdf
- Language Access Guidance Letter to State Courts from DOJ, Civil Rights Division

 Aug. 17, 2010 http://www.lep.gov/final courts ltr 081610.pdf
- DOJ Language Access Plan (March, 2012) http://www.justice.gov/open/language-access-plan.pdf
- Tips and Tools Specific to Domestic Violence Service Providers and Specialists (DOJ, Civil Rights Division):
 - http://www.lep.gov/resources/tips and tools-9-21-04.htm#38
- Model Protocol on Services for LEP Immigrant and Refugee Victims of Domestic Violence (Washington State Coalition Against Domestic Violence) http://www.wscadv.org/docs/protocol LEP victims.pdf
- Executive Order 13166
 http://www.justice.gov/crt/cor/Pubs/eolep.pdf
- Resource Guide for Advocates and Attorneys on Interpretation Services for Domestic Violence Victims (API Institute on Domestic Violence)
 http://www.apiidv.org/files/Interpretation.Resource.Guide-APIIDV-7.2010.pdf
- Commonly Asked Questions and Answers Regarding Exec. Order 13166 DOJ website http://www.justice.gov/crt/about/cor/Pubs/lepga.php
- Complaint Form for DOJ Civil Rights Division, Federal Coordination and Compliance Section: http://www.justice.gov/crt/about/cor/complaint.php.
- Complaint form for HHS Office of Civil Rights: http://www.hhs.gov/ocr/civilrights/complaints/complaintformpackage.pdf
- "I Speak" Language Identification Cards available for free download at: http://www.justice.gov/crt/lep/resources/ISpeakCards2004.pdf
- Language Access in State Courts (Brennan Center for Justice, NYU Law School): http://www.brennancenter.org/content/resource/language_access_in_state_courts

For more information, contact Rosie Hidalgo, Director of Public Policy for Casa de Esperanza at rhidalgo@casadeesperanza.org

This project was supported by Grant No. 2011-TA-AX-K047 awarded by the Office on Violence Against Women, U.S. Department of Justice. The opinions, findings, conclusions, and recommendations expressed in this publication/program/exhibition are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect the views of the Department of Justice, Office on Violence Against Women.